

Electronically Filed December 12, 2023

JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 000264
JAMES M. JIMMERSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12599
THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM, P.C.
415 South 6th Street, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 388-7171
Facsimile: (702) 380-6413
Email: jimmerson@jimmersonlawfirm.com
jmj@jimmersonlawfirm.com

BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5859
JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5423
NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 16264
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Telephone: (702) 262-6899
Facsimile: (702) 597-5503
Email: baxelrod@foxrothschild.com
jmcperson@foxrothschild.com
nkoffroth@foxrothschild.com

Counsel for Debtor Cash Cloud Inc.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re
CASH CLOUD, INC.,
dba COIN CLOUD,
Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. Case No. 23-10423
Chapter 11

Adv. Case No. 23-01015-MKN

**STIPULATED AMENDED DISCOVERY
PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM, P.C.
415 South Sixth Street, Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-7171 – fax (702) 387-1167

CASH CLOUD, INC., dba COIN CLOUD,
Plaintiff,

v.

Lux Vending, LLC d/b/a Bitcoin Depot,

Defendant.

Plaintiff and Debtor, Cash Cloud, Inc. d/b/a Coin Cloud (“Cash Cloud,” “Plaintiff,” or “Debtor”), and Defendant Lux Vending, LLC d/b/a Bitcoin Depot (“Defendant” or “Bitcoin Depot”), through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, the parties’ Stipulated Amended Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

I. PRIOR PROCEEDINGS

A. Date Of Filing Of Answer By First Answering Defendant:

Defendant filed an Answer on December 1, 2023, pursuant to the Court’s November 17, 2023 Order on Defendant Lux Vending, LLC d/b/a Bitcoin Depot’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint.

B. Date The Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(F) Conference Was Held:

April 21, 2023

II. DISCOVERY PLAN:

A. Number Of Days Required For Discovery:

1. Plaintiff’s view:

364

2. Defendants’ view:

364

B. What Changes, If Any, Should Be Made In Timing, Form Or Requirements For Disclosures Under 26(a):

1. Plaintiff's view:

None

2. Defendant's view:

None

C. When Initial Disclosures Were Made Or Will Be Made:

Plaintiff's disclosures: May 5, 2023

Defendant's disclosures: May 5, 2023

D. Subjects On Which Discovery May Be Needed:

1. Plaintiff's view:

The parties' allegations and defenses.

2. Defendant's view:

The parties' allegations and defenses.

E. Should Discovery Be Conducted In Phases Or Limited To Or Focused Upon Particular Issues?

1. Plaintiff's view:

Yes. Fact discovery should be segregated from expert discovery. Fact Discovery should close on January 31, 2025. Expert discovery shall close on April 30, 2025.

Additionally, the parties stipulate and agree that discovery will not commence until there is an operative complaint. The parties further stipulate and agree that discovery may be served prior to an operative

complaint, but written responses to any discovery served prior to an operative complaint will not be due until either the time provided under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or 14 days following an operative complaint, whichever is longer. Discovery may be served electronically upon Plaintiff, provided that all of the following recipients are included:

James M. Jimmerson: jmj@jimmersonlawfirm.com

James J. Jimmerson: jimmerson@jimmersonlawfirm.com

Andrew Pastor: aap@jimmersonlawfirm.com

Brett Axelrod: baxelrod@foxrothschild.com

2. Defendant's view:

Yes. Fact discovery should be segregated from expert discovery. Fact Discovery should close on January 31, 2025. Expert discovery shall close on April 30, 2025.

Additionally, the parties stipulate and agree that discovery will not commence until there is an operative complaint. The parties further stipulate and agree that discovery may be served prior to an operative complaint, but written responses to any discovery served prior to an operative complaint will not be due until either the time provided under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or 14 days following an operative complaint, whichever is longer. Discovery may be served electronically upon Defendant, provided that all of the following recipients are included:

Adam Schwartz: aschwartz@carltonfields.com

Erin J. Hoyle: EHoyle@carltonfields.com

Angie Maranto: amaranto@carltonfields.com

John Lamoureux: jlamoureux@carltonfields.com

Stacy Rubin: srubin@nevadafirm.com

Olivia Swibies: oswibies@nevadafirm.com

Should any above-listed service party need to be added or removed because of a change in assignment or staffing, the party's counsel of record will advise all service parties in writing via e-mail.

F. State Any Issues About Disclosure Or Discovery Of Electronically Stored Information, Including The Form Or Forms In Which It Should Be Produced.

1. Plaintiff's view:

Plaintiff believes that an electronically stored information protocol is appropriate for this case.

2. Defendant's view:

Plaintiff believes that an electronically stored information protocol is appropriate for this case.

G. State Any Issues About Claims Of Privilege Or Of Protection As Trial-Preparation Materials, Including—If The Parties Agree On A Procedure To Assert These Claims After Production—Whether To Ask The Court To Include Their Agreement In An Order.

1. Plaintiff's view:

Plaintiff believes that a stipulated confidentiality protective order is appropriate for this case.

2. Defendant's view:

Defendant believes that a stipulated confidentiality protective order is appropriate for this case.

H. What Changes, If Any, Should Be Made In Limitations On Discovery Imposed Under These Rules And What, If Any, Other Limitations Should Be Imposed?

1. Plaintiff's view:

None.

2. Defendant's view:

None.

I. What, If Any, Other Orders Should Be Entered By The Court Under Rule 26(C) Or Rule 16(B) And (C)?

1. Plaintiff's view:

None.

2. Defendant's view:

III. None. DISCOVERY AND MOTION DATES:

A. Dates Agreed By The Parties:

- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| 1. Close of fact discovery: | January 31, 2025 |
| 2. Close of expert/all discovery | April 30, 2025 |
| 3. Final date to file motions to amend pleadings or add parties (without a further court order): | July 1, 2024 |
| 4. Final dates for expert disclosures: | |
| (a) Initial disclosure: | February 28, 2025 |
| (b) Rebuttal disclosures: | March 31, 2025 |
| 5. Final date to file dispositive motions: | May 30, 2025 |
| 6. Joint Pretrial Order: | June 30, 2025 |

B. If Applicable, Statement Of The Reasons Why Longer Or Different Time Periods Should Apply To The Case Or, In Cases In Which The Parties Disagree As To The Form Or Contents Of The Discovery Plan, A Statement Of Each Party's Position On Each Point In Dispute:

1. Plaintiff's view:

The parties agree that a longer or different period of an additional 180 days is required for the discovery cutoff date, for the following reasons:

- a. The parties believe that they each will need to take as many as ten depositions from fact witnesses and (perhaps more) and that most if not all the deponents reside out of state and in different states.
- b. The uncertainty of when the parties will be able to begin the taking of depositions due to the presence of critical witnesses abroad.

2. Defendant's view:

- a. The parties believe the need for international discovery in this matter will necessarily extend the discovery period.
- b. Further, the parties anticipate significant third-party discovery from persons across the country.

C. Alternative Dispute Resolution:

The undersigned certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute resolution processes. All parties would be open to engaging in a settlement conference before Judge Zive before May 31, 2024.

D. Electronic Evidence:

The parties will prepare an ESI protocol within 14 days of an operative complaint.

☒ A jury trial has not been demanded.

THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM, P.C.
415 South Sixth Street, Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-7171 – fax (702) 387-1167

Dated this 12th day of December, 2023.

Dated this 12th day of December 2023.

THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM, P.C.

HOLLEY DRIGGS

By: /s/ James M. Jimmerson, Esq.

By: /s/ Stacy H. Rubin, Esq.

James J. Jimmerson, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 00264
James M. Jimmerson, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 12599
415 South Sixth Street, suite 100
Las Vegas Nevada, NV 89101

Stacy H. Rubin, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 9298
Email: srubin@nevadafirm.com
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: 702/791-0308
Facsimile: 702/791-1912

Brett Axelrod, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 5859
Fox Rothschild LLP
1980 Festival Plaza Dr., Suite 700
Las Vegas, NV 89135

and

Adam P. Schwartz
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
John J. Lamoureux
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
Carlton Fields, P.A.
Corporate Center Three at International
Plaza
4221 W. Boy Scout Boulevard, Suite 1000
Tampa, Florida 33607-5780
*Attorneys for Defendant Lux Vending, LLC
d/b/a Bitcoin Depot*

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
Cash Cloud Inc. Error! Reference
source not found.